

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

COLEMAN-TOLL LIMITED  
PARTNERSHIP, a Nevada limited  
partnership,  
  
Plaintiff,  
vs.  
  
ADMINISTRATION FOR COMMUNITY  
LIVING, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES; and  
ADMINISTRATION FOR COMMUNITY  
HOUSING,  
  
Defendants.

Case No.: 2:15-cv-02148-GMN-GWF

## ORDER

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge George Foley, Jr., (ECF No. 24), which recommends that Plaintiff Coleman-Toll Limited Partnership's Motion for Default Judgment (ECF No. 16) be **GRANTED**.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1–4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3–2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3–2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all … of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

1 Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed.

2 Accordingly,

3 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 24) is  
4 **ACCEPTED and ADOPTED** to the extent that it is not inconsistent with this Order.

5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff Coleman-Toll Limited Partnership's  
6 Motion for Default Judgment (ECF No. 16) is **GRANTED**.

7 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that judgment be entered in favor of Plaintiff that the  
8 Fraudulent Deed is invalid and unenforceable and had no force or effect in conveying any  
9 interest in Plaintiff's Real Property to Defendant ACH.

10 The Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly and close this case.

11 **DATED** this 15 day of April, 2016.

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge  
United States District Judge

